

## **REMARKS AND ARGUMENTS**

The Office Action dated June 23, 2008 has been carefully reviewed, together with the claims of the captioned application and the prior art references cited in the rejection of the claims.

### **Error in Office Action**

In review of the Office Action dated June 23, 2008, it is noted that the claims pending in the application were not addressed in terms of novelty and non-obviousness. On or about December 13, 2005, a Preliminary Amendment was filed in which claims 1-25 were canceled and claims 26-43 were added to the application. The Preliminary Amendment was filed with the above-captioned national stage PCT application.

In the present application canceled claims 1-25 were examined and claims 26-43 were not addressed. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner examine claims 26-43 currently pending in the application for novelty and non-obviousness.

Respectfully submitted,  
Attorney for Applicant



Roger N. Chauza, Esq.  
Reg. No. 29,753  
Tel: (972) 518-1414

September 22, 2008